

Enhanced black hole formation by multiple winding cosmic strings

Michiyasu Nagasawa

*Department of Information Science, Faculty of Science, Kanagawa University, Kanagawa
259-1293, Japan*

Electronic address: nagasawa@info.kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Primordial black hole formation by cosmic string collapse is reconsidered when the winding number of the string is larger than the unity. The line energy density of the multiple winding string becomes greater than that of the single winding string so that the probability of the black hole formation by the string collapse during the loop oscillation would be strongly enhanced. Although the production of the multiple winding defect is suppressed and its number density should be small, the enhancement of the black hole formation by the increased energy density may provide a large number of evaporating black holes in the present universe which gives more stringent constraint on the string model compared to the ordinary string scenario.

PACS: 98.80Cq

Keywords: Primordial black hole; Cosmic string; Multiple winding number

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological defects [1] are considered to be produced in the early universe during the cosmological phase transitions accompanied by some kinds of symmetry breaking. They would contribute not only to the experimental investigation of particle physics models in our universe as a high energy laboratory but also to the theoretical explanation of various unresolved problems in the standard Big-Bang cosmology. Here we consider the primordial black hole formation by cosmic strings which is one of the notable subjects both in the observational astrophysics and the modern particle cosmology.

A cosmic string is a line-like topological defect which can be characterized almost by one model parameter, η , the symmetry breaking scale of the phase transition in which the string is generated. Particularly the line energy density of the string, μ , is expressed as

$$\mu \simeq \eta^2, \quad (1)$$

using η . In the course of the cosmic evolution, the size and number distribution of the string is believed to obey the scaling model. In the scaling distribution, the ratio of the energy density of the cosmic string to the cosmological background energy density should be time independent. The numerical value of this ratio can be written approximately by

$$G\mu = \left(\frac{\eta}{M_{pl}} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where M_{pl} is the Planck mass. Note that when $\eta \sim$ the grand unification scale, that is, 10^{15-16} GeV,

$$G\mu \sim 10^{-6}, \quad (3)$$

which is suitable for the initial seed amplitude of the cosmological structure formation. This is why the GUT scale string has been not only regarded as one of the possible consequence from some unified theories but also considered to be a promising candidate of the required density perturbation seed for galaxies and clusters of galaxies. The viability of such a scenario can be investigated by the direct comparison between the results of numerical simulations and the various observational facts such as the galaxy distribution and the cosmic microwave background radiation fluctuation similarly to other cosmological structure formation scenarios. Although in the literature, the string scenario seems to be a less promising one than that based on the inflationary epoch, the fact that there is no established version of cosmological structure formation scenario suggests cosmological defects may have played an important role in the cosmic evolution history.

In this paper, we pay particular attention to the constraint on the model parameter in which the cosmic string is produced using the black hole formation by loop oscillation. The point which has not been discussed so far is we employ the multiple winding number string as black hole sources. In the next section and the third section, we briefly review the primordial black hole formation by cosmic strings and the production of multiple winding strings. Based on the results obtained in these two sections, the revised observational constraint on the string model is shown in the section 4. The final section is devoted to discussions and conclusions.

II. PBHS FROM COSMIC STRINGS

In this section, let us briefly summarize the primordial black hole formation from oscillating string loops. Black holes are one of the most exciting consequences of the general relativistic gravitation theory and their astrophysical and cosmological influences have been investigated by many people. Although the conventional source of their production is the gravitational collapse of astronomical bodies, the primordial formation in the early universe is also an interesting and important issue which is worth to be studied in detail.

Particularly black holes whose mass is $M_* \simeq 10^{15} \text{g}$ have the life-time which is comparable to the age of the universe so that they would evaporate at present and may provide sources of ultra high energy cosmic rays including extragalactic γ -rays [2] and other astroparticle phenomena whose mechanisms have not been solved completely. In addition to that, the relic black holes might contribute to the energy density of the present universe and solve the dark matter problem which is one of the most important cosmological problems [3]. Such primordial black hole formation could be predicted not only by the density perturbations produced during the inflationary expansion of the universe but also by the collapses of oscillating string loops.

In the standard picture of the cosmic string evolution, it is believed that the distribution of the size and number of strings obeys the one scale model, that is, the scaling distribution. In this formula, the string can be classified to two kinds of string, one is an infinitely long horizon-scale string and the other is a loop. As we mentioned in the previous section, the relative energy density of infinite strings is scale-invariant since they lose their energy in the course of cosmic evolution. In the conventional model, the main channel of the infinite string energy loss is considered to be a loop generation by the small-scale structure of long strings. Although there exists a recent claim which says that the infinitely long strings have very small wiggles on them so that the dominant energy loss mechanism of the string should be a direct particle production [4], the conclusion that the resulting string distribution can be described by the scaling formula is unchanged. In this formula, the energy density of the infinite string can be written as

$$\rho_\infty = \frac{\mu}{L^2} , \quad (4)$$

where L is the characteristic length scale of the string network which can be defined as

$$L = (\zeta)^{-1/2} t , \quad (5)$$

using the parameter, ζ which is a typical number of infinite strings within the horizon. On the other hand, the loop number density $n(l, t)$ for the loop size, l , and the time, t , can be expressed as

$$n(l, t) = \frac{\nu}{t^{3/2} (l + \Gamma G \mu t)^{5/2}} , \quad (6)$$

where ν is related to the loop creation length, γt , and ζ as

$$\nu \simeq \zeta \sqrt{\gamma} , \quad (7)$$

and Γ is the efficiency of the gravitational wave radiation. If other way of energy emission is dominant, $\Gamma G\mu$ should be replaced appropriately.

Whether the energy loss mechanism of the string loop is the gravitational wave radiation or not, oscillating loops may collapse to black holes. When the loop whose total length is equal to l is compactified into the region whose radius is $2G\mu l$ during its oscillation, all the energy the loop has is contained within the gravitational radius so that a black hole of mass μl would appear. Although such a procedure still remains a conjecture, it is believed that the probability of black hole formation during a loop oscillation, P_{BH}^1 , can be written as

$$P_{BH}^1 \sim \kappa (G\mu)^\alpha, \quad (8)$$

where κ is a numerical coefficient and α is a power index which have been estimated as [5]

$$\alpha \simeq 0 \sim 4, \quad (9)$$

or [6]

$$\alpha \simeq -0.5 \sim 0.5, \quad (10)$$

and [7]

$$\alpha = 4.1 \pm 0.1, \quad (11)$$

$$\kappa = 10^{4.9 \pm 0.2}, \quad (12)$$

based on various assumptions and calculations. Hereafter for simplicity, we employ a numerical value $\alpha = 4$ as the most distinct case. Since κ is cancelled out in the calculation process, its value does not affect the final conclusion.

Combined with the observational constraints obtained from the existence of primordial black holes, the upper limit on the important string parameter, $G\mu$, can be derived. Although the evolution and the final destiny of the black hole have not been completely determined yet, there are two kinds of constraints by the evaporation of the black hole and its massive relics, which are naturally deduced from the assumption that the black hole loses its energy and mass due to the Hawking radiation. The former constraint is obtained from the observation of galactic and extra-galactic cosmic rays such as γ -ray bursts, γ -ray background, anti-protons and so on. The latter is based on the consideration that the black hole should not disappear away but leave a massive relic. Then it would contribute to the energy density of the universe and the total mass can be limited by the fact that the cosmic evolution must obey the standard history and the universe must not be over-closed.

Although there are many uncertainties such as what the final state of the black hole is, how much the loop number density is and how much the black hole formation probability is, the summarized constraint results in [8]

$$G\mu \lesssim (1 - 3) \times 10^{-6}. \quad (13)$$

Note that this is in rough agreement with the bound by the cosmic microwave background radiation anisotropy as [9]

$$G\mu \lesssim (1 - 2) \times 10^{-6}, \quad (14)$$

and the most stringent constraint on the string model by the pulsar timing observation [10]. As we mentioned in the previous section, the above conditions become marginal when $\eta \sim$ the GUT scale.

III. MULTIPLE WINDING STRING

In the literature, only the simplest string configuration, that is, a string whose winding number, n , equals one was investigated since it was implicitly assumed that it seemed to be natural. Recently, however, it is claimed that the multiple winding string may play an important role in the cosmological evolution of the early universe. One is the initial condition for the inflationary universe and the other is the electroweak baryogenesis.

The inflation scenario is the most promising paradigm which can solve many problems the standard Big-Bang theory cannot explain. So far, however, there has been no convincing model of the inflation and people have invented many models. Among them, the topological inflation [11] model can naturally provide an initial condition for the inflationary expansion since at the core of the topological defect the inflaton energy takes large amplitude which enables the dominance of the effectively constant energy density. The difficulty of this efficient model is that in order to satisfy the condition that the whole universe within the horizon must be dominated by the inflaton field, the symmetry breaking scale of the defect forming phase transition should be sufficiently large as

$$\eta \gtrsim M_{pl} . \quad (15)$$

Since no one knows a reliable physical theory at such a high energy scale, it would be useful if this constraint can be lowered. In the case of the topological string, the multiple winding number can settle the situation since the larger the winding number becomes, the larger the core size of the string grows, which results that the required value of η decreases. Particularly when

$$n \cong 3 \times 10^3 , \quad (16)$$

the topological inflation can occur at the GUT scale [12].

The baryon asymmetry problem is one of the most significant problems in modern cosmology and particle physics. Observations show the fact that there exists small baryon number as

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \sim 10^{-10} , \quad (17)$$

which is the ratio of the baryon number density to the entropy. To explain the generation of baryon asymmetry in the course of cosmic evolution, three necessary conditions are required. These are the baryon number violation, C and CP violation and the deviation from the thermal equilibrium. The first and the second conditions may be satisfied by the appropriate model construction of particle interactions. On the contrary, the last one should be provided during the dynamical evolution of the universe.

Because of the sphaleron transition process, all the baryon asymmetry should be erased at the electroweak epoch unless there exists an asymmetry between baryon number and lepton number. This is the reason why the electroweak baryogenesis has been regarded as the most promising scenario of baryon number generation for many years [13]. In the conventional model of the electroweak baryogenesis, the deviation from the thermal equilibrium is achieved by the propagation of nucleated bubbles and the interaction between bubble walls and the surrounding plasma during the first order electroweak phase transition. However, in

the standard model and its simple extension, the degree of the electroweak phase transition seems to be too weak to realize the first order transition.

In such a situation, various alternatives have been suggested and the electroweak string has been proved to bring the non-equilibrium condition even if the electroweak phase transition is not of first order since it should be left after the transition and its collapse resembles the bubble wall propagation [14]. Although the idea that the defect can realize the out-of-equilibrium remains valid, it has been shown that the initial production rate of the electroweak string in the standard model is too low so that it is difficult to explain the observational value quantitatively by this scenario [15]. Recently more effective scenario using the string has been proposed [16]. In this case, when

$$n \geq 2 \sim 3 , \quad (18)$$

the deviation from the thermal equilibrium can be satisfied by sphaleron bound states on strings and their following decay.

Therefore it would be useful if the existence of the multiple winding string can be constrained by some astronomical and cosmological observations and here we consider the primordial black holes produced by the string loop oscillation. In order to perform a quantitative analysis, we estimate the formation probability of the multiple winding string. Although similar consideration can be applied to the multiple winding monopole which may be useful for the topological inflation, hereafter we will concentrate on the string case.

We employ the Abelian Higgs model with the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(D^\mu\phi)^\dagger(D_\mu\phi) - \frac{1}{8}\lambda(\phi^\dagger\phi - \eta^2)^2 , \quad (19)$$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu , \quad (20)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu , \quad (21)$$

where ϕ is a complex scalar field, A_μ is a gauge vector field, e is the gauge coupling constant. In this model, there is a well-known string solution called the Nielsen-Olesen vortex [17] which is a two-dimensional slice of the string and the string configuration with a fixed winding number, n , can be determined by one parameter, β , which can be defined as

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda}{e^2} = \left(\frac{m_s}{m_v}\right)^2 , \quad (22)$$

where m_s is the mass of the scalar field and m_v is the mass of the vector field. The important characteristic of the string configuration is that as β or the winding number, n , increases, the width scale of the string core also increases.

The stability of the multiple winding string has been analyzed and in some parameter range, it is stable. For example, the calculation of the string line energy density shows that the multiple winding string is stable for the case $\beta < 1$ and unstable for $\beta > 1$ [18]. Moreover, the interaction force between two vortices is attractive when $\beta < 1$ and repulsive when $\beta > 1$ [19].

Now we estimate the formation probability of the multiple winding string. In this paper, we review the calculation process briefly and the detailed method can be found in the reference [20].

Before introducing how to estimate the string formation probability for the multiple winding, let us summarize that for the single winding case [21]. In the Kibble mechanism, the phase of the Higgs field is considered to take random value at each correlated region whose size is of scale, ξ , which is the correlation length of the fields. The result shows that the formation probability of the single winding string, $P(1)$ is

$$P(1) = \frac{\pi}{2}/2\pi = \frac{1}{4} . \quad (23)$$

In this procedure, the string identification process can be described as follows. First divide the physical space into domains whose size is typically of ξ , that is, the correlation scale. Then assign the phase of the Higgs field randomly to each representative point of every domain. Finally interpolate the phase between two representative points so that the gradient energy of the Higgs field takes the minimum value. Thus we can distinguish the region where there is a winding number and a string exists from that where there is no winding number and we cannot find a string.

Based on the above argument let us proceed to the multiple winding string version. If we simply apply the Kibble mechanism procedure to the multiple winding case, we have to encounter a problem. Since the phase difference between two neighboring representative points in the triangle division method which we have described, the total difference of the phase along the triangle circumference should be smaller than or equal to 3π , which means it must be 2π at most because of the phase continuity. Thus the winding number cannot be larger than the unity. The most natural solution to this situation may be the employment of other polygon than the triangle, for example, a hexagon. In the hexagon case, the total phase difference is improved to be 6π and the region within which the winding number becomes two can be allowed. However, there remains a problem even in this case because we cannot distinguish the state there is one piece of double winding string from the state there are two pieces of single winding string. Moreover, if we apply the triangle method to the area where one double winding string can be found by the hexagon method, we will misunderstand that there is one single winding string. Therefore not only the winding number but also even the magnitude of the total winding depends on the method of space dividing.

Thus in order to estimate the formation probability of the multiple winding string correctly, we introduce a new scale, R , within which there should be only one piece of string. Then the number of vertices the polygon has becomes $\pi R/\xi$. Thus the total phase difference is

$$\pi \cdot \frac{\pi R}{\xi} = \frac{\pi^2 R}{\xi} . \quad (24)$$

The most natural interpretation would be that R is comparable to the diameter of the string core which is approximately equal to the inverse of the scalar mass which results

$$R = \frac{1}{m_s(T = T_G)} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda T_G} , \quad (25)$$

where T_G is the temperature at which the phase transition terminates and the configuration of topological defects cannot be erased by the thermal fluctuations of fields. On the other hand, the correlation scale, ξ , can be expressed as [22]

$$\xi \sim \frac{1}{T_G} . \quad (26)$$

As a consequence, the possible maximum winding number which belongs to one string should be

$$n_{max} \sim \left[\frac{\pi^2 R}{2\pi\xi} \right] \sim \left[\frac{\pi}{2\lambda} \right] , \quad (27)$$

where $[]$ denotes the Gauss's symbol. Thus we can say that the multiple winding string can be produced when $\lambda \ll 1$.

Even in the case $\lambda \sim 1$, we cannot completely deny the formation of the multiple winding configuration since in the actual situation, the correlation length scale can be inhomogeneous, that is, be various at each correlated region. Based on the result of the numerical calculation using the toy model [20], we estimate the black hole formation possibility in the next section.

Before closing this section, let us mention another possibility. When the self-coupling constant of the scalar field is smaller than the gauge coupling constant, that is, $\beta < 1$ for the local gauged string case, the attractive force acts on strings and they would accumulate to a multiple winding string. In this case, the formation probability of the string whose winding number equals n , $P(n)$, can be easily calculated as

$$P(n) = \frac{P(1)}{n} . \quad (28)$$

This is also the case which the black hole formation probability is calculated in the following section.

IV. PBHS FROM MULTIPLE WINDING LOOPS

In this section, we estimate the primordial black hole formation probability by the oscillating string loop and the resulting modified observational constraint. Since we have the formula for the ordinary single winding string case in (13), the only thing we have to do is to clarify the difference when we employ the multiple winding one. The constraint (13) was deduced by the upper bound on Ω_{BH} , the relative energy density of black holes to the critical energy density of the present universe. Hence in the following we will derive that for the n winding string, Ω_{BH}^n .

Here we assume the modified formula of the parameter which characterizes the multiple winding string. If the line energy density of n winding string, μ_n , can be written as

$$\mu_n = A(n)\mu , \quad (29)$$

using the enhancement factor, $A(n) > 1$, then

$$A(n) \leq n , \quad (30)$$

since otherwise a multiple winding string becomes energetically unstable to the division into n pieces of single winding strings. As we have introduced in the equation (8), the formation

probability of the black hole by the loop oscillation is in proportion to the power of the string line energy density so that this probability can be enhanced as

$$\frac{P_{BH}^n}{P_{BH}^1} = \left[\frac{A(n)}{A(1)} \right]^\alpha = A(n)^\alpha \equiv f_1 , \quad (31)$$

where the superscript of P_{BH} depicts the corresponding string winding number and we define this enhancement factor as f_1 .

In order to see how the constraint on the string model should be replaced, it is also necessary to estimate the seed loop number density in addition to the above black hole formation probability. Using the assumption in the equation (29) and one more assumption that the multiple winding string also obeys the scaling distribution described by the formula (4), we can calculate explicitly two modifications by the increase of the winding number which affect the black hole number density at present. One is the reduction factor which comes simply from the formation probability of multiple winding strings and the other is the enhancement factor which is related to earlier formation of black holes.

First the energy density of n winding strings, ρ_∞^n , is smaller than that of single winding strings, ρ_∞ , as

$$\rho_\infty^n = P(n) \frac{\mu_n}{L^2} , \quad (32)$$

where we have written the probability of n winding string production per correlation volume at the initial formation epoch as $P(n)$ similarly to the previous section. Then there appears a modification to the final formula of the black hole density parameter as

$$\Omega_{BH}^n \propto P(n) , \quad (33)$$

which results an relative difference compared to a single winding case as

$$f_2 \equiv \frac{P(n)}{P(1)} , \quad (34)$$

where we define this reduction factor as f_2 .

The next point is the time, t_{BH}^n , that the black hole of specific mass M_* which is evaporating at present was formed becomes earlier in the multiple winding string case. Since t_{BH}^n can be calculated by the relation as

$$M_* = \mu_n \gamma t_{BH}^n , \quad (35)$$

t_{BH}^n becomes smaller as μ_n increases. Strictly speaking the value of M_* should depend on the time of formation. However, the rate of change of the black hole mass, M , is proportional to the power of M as [23]

$$\frac{dM}{dt} \propto M^{-2} . \quad (36)$$

Then the life-time of the black hole is much larger than its creation epoch. For example, $t_{BH}^1 \sim 10^{-16}$ sec for the GUT scale string is sufficiently smaller than the age of the universe,

$t_0 \sim 10^{17}$ sec. Thus it is a good approximation that M_* is independent of the string winding number, n , so that we can say that

$$t_{BH}^n \propto \frac{1}{\mu_n} . \quad (37)$$

One more note is that in the equation (35) it is assumed that the black hole is formed instantaneously after the loop creation. However, since the important feature is the relation between t_{BH}^n and μ_n , we do not investigate whether this is appropriate or not in detail.

In order to calculate Ω_{BH}^n , we have to take various mass of the black hole into account. Hereafter, however, we only estimate the number density of the black hole whose mass is M_* . This simple consideration is sufficient to see the essential dependence on μ_n of Ω_{BH}^n since the black hole of mass M_* has the most dominant effect and the exact treatment produces the completely same result. The initial black hole number density whose mass equals M_* , $n_{BH}^n(t_{BH}^n)$, can be calculated as

$$n_{BH}^n(t_{BH}^n) = P_{BH}^n n_{loop}^n(t_{BH}^n) , \quad (38)$$

where n_{loop}^n is the loop number density of the n winding string and can be written as

$$n_{loop}^n(t_{BH}^n) \simeq \frac{\Delta\rho_\infty^n(t_{BH}^n)}{M_*} , \quad (39)$$

where $\Delta\rho_\infty^n$ is the energy loss of an infinitely long n winding string to loops. Using the formula (32),

$$\Delta\rho_\infty^n(t_{BH}^n) \simeq C\rho_\infty^n(t_{BH}^n) = CP(n)\zeta\frac{\mu_n}{(t_{BH}^n)^2} , \quad (40)$$

where C is the efficiency of the loop production. When we leave only the dependence on the winding number,

$$n_{BH}^n(t_{BH}^n) \propto P_{BH}^n P(n) \frac{\mu_n}{(t_{BH}^n)^2} . \quad (41)$$

The following evolution of the black hole number density, n_{BH}^n , obeys the ordinary dust particle law as

$$n_{BH}^n(t) \propto a(t)^{-3} , \quad (42)$$

where $a(t)$ is the cosmological scale factor. Then since t_{BH}^n is in the radiation dominated era for the parameter region we are interested in, the present number density of the black hole whose mass is equal to M_* can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} n_{BH}^n(t_0) &= n_{BH}^n(t_{BH}^n) \left[\frac{a(t_{BH}^n)}{a(t_0)} \right]^3 \\ &= n_{BH}^n(t_{BH}^n) \left(\frac{t_{BH}^n}{t_{eq}} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{t_{eq}}{t_0} \right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

in the flat universe where t_{eq} is the radiation matter equality time. Then the density parameter for the black hole can be written as

$$\Omega_{BH}^n = \frac{M_* n_{BH}^n(t_0)}{\rho_0} , \quad (44)$$

if we neglect the mass loss of the black hole where ρ_0 is the critical density of the universe. Combined with the relations (41) and (37), we can obtain the final relation as

$$\Omega_{BH}^n \propto P_{BH}^n P(n) \mu_n^{3/2} , \quad (45)$$

and we define an additional modification factor, f_3 , as

$$f_3 \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\mu} \right)^{3/2} = \left[\frac{A(n)}{A(1)} \right]^{3/2} = A(n)^{3/2} . \quad (46)$$

As a result, the overall modification factor of Ω_{BH}^n for the string whose winding number is equal to n , $f(n)$, should be described as

$$f(n) = f_1 f_2 f_3 = \frac{P(n)}{P(1)} A(n)^{\alpha+3/2} , \quad (47)$$

using the equations (31), (34) and (46). Thus when $A(n) > 1$ at a certain n , this enhancement may overcome the reduction by the smallness of $P(n)$ and make the present black hole number larger compared to the single winding string case although the loop creation size and other parameters are not completely determined in the multiple winding string case.

At last we can estimate the modification factor of the density parameter of the black hole at present for the multiple winding string. The first case is the string formation probability is calculated including the fluctuation of the correlated region size. The assumption for the parameter is

$$\alpha = 4 , \quad A(n) = n , \quad (48)$$

which makes the modification rather large. The final expression of the factor, $f(n)$, can be written as

$$f(n) = \frac{P(n)}{P(1)} n^{5.5} , \quad (49)$$

and the numerical values for $n = 1 - 4$ are depicted in Table 1. In this case, f_1 and f_3 is too small to enhance the black hole production so that the result is trivial, that is, the constraint on the string model parameter is unchanged since the most dominant contribution to the γ -ray emission and other astrophysical phenomena would be provided by single winding strings. Although we do not know the numerical value of $P(n)$ for much larger n , it would be natural that $f(n)$ is the decreasing function of n even in such cases.

n	$P(n)$	$f(n)$
1	0.2102	1
2	8.36×10^{-4}	1.80×10^{-1}
3	4.8×10^{-7}	9.6×10^{-4}
4	8×10^{-11}	8×10^{-7}

Table 1. Modification Factor for Multiple Winding String

In contrast to that, the other case in which the string coalescence is taken into account may be more interesting. Using the same assumption for the parameters, α and $A(n)$, as the former case, the formula of the modification factor can be calculated as

$$f(n) = n^{4.5} , \quad (50)$$

where we have substituted the equation (28). The factor $f(n)$ are shown in Table 2 for $n = 2 - 4$. It can be obviously seen that the degree of the enhancement becomes greater as n increases. Therefore it may be plausible that the string which has a large number of winding might impose a more stringent constraint than the single winding string scenario.

n	2	3	4
$f(n)$	22.6	140	512

Table 2. Modification Factor for String Coalescence Case

Finally we show the modified constraint formula for the string model parameter, η , which is significant from the point of view of the particle cosmology. As we have seen in this section, the symmetry breaking parameter is related to the black hole number as

$$\Omega_{BH}^n \propto \mu_n^{\alpha+3/2} . \quad (51)$$

Then the revised upper bound on $G\eta^2$ for the multiple winding string case, $G\eta^2|_<^n$, can be expressed as

$$G\eta^2|_<^n = f(n)^{-2/(3+2\alpha)} G\eta^2|_< , \quad (52)$$

where $G\eta^2|_<$ is the upper bound on $G\eta^2$ for the case in which the multiple winding string is not incorporated.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have estimated how the observational constraint on the particle physics model parameter in which the cosmic string is produced should be modified when we consider the multiple winding string which may be useful for the topological inflation and the electroweak baryogenesis. The modified formula of the constraint on the line energy density which can be translated to that on the symmetry breaking scale, η , can be written as

$$G\eta^2 \lesssim f(n)^{-2/(3+2\alpha)} \times 10^{-6} , \quad (53)$$

in which we assume the simple scaling distribution of the string.

The numerical values of the above modification factor are calculated in two cases. One is the case that the multiple winding string is produced at the initial formation epoch and the modification is not so significant since

$$f(n) \leq 1 . \quad (54)$$

In the other case, the dynamical evolution of the string after its formation is concerned and the string accumulation by the attractive interaction between strings makes the enhancement remarkable as

$$f(n) \gg 1, \quad (55)$$

which means the upper bound on η must be much lower.

Thus we can say that a more stringent constraint by primordial black holes on the model parameter might be obtained by multiple winding strings compared to the conventional scenario of ordinary single winding strings. Just for the reference, let us estimate the case in which all the winding number within the horizon are concentrated on a single string. At the string formation epoch, the number of the correlated region in the horizon volume can be estimated as

$$N \cong \left(\frac{t}{T^{-1}} \right)^3. \quad (56)$$

When we take the annihilation of a string by an anti-string into consideration, the bulk total winding within the horizon would be

$$n \cong \sqrt{P(1)N}. \quad (57)$$

If we assume $T \sim \eta$, then

$$n \simeq \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}}{0.3P(1)^3} \frac{\eta}{M_{pl}} \right)^{-3/2}, \quad (58)$$

using the relation between t and T in the standard cosmology where $\mathcal{N}$ is the gauge degree of freedom of particles at T . Then the modified constraint on η can be written as

$$\left(\frac{\eta}{M_{pl}} \right)^{1/2+6/(2\alpha+3)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}}}{0.3P(1)^3} \right)^{3(2\alpha-1)/2(2\alpha+3)} 10^{-6}, \quad (59)$$

leaving the parameter α in the formula (8). When $\alpha = 4$, the resulting constraint can be expressed as

$$\frac{\eta}{M_{pl}} \lesssim 10^{-4}, \quad (60)$$

which is marginally compatible with the GUT string, $\eta \simeq 10^{15}$ GeV and a little more rigorous than the original one (13). It might be the most useful condition in order to constrain the model construction of the string generation in the early universe even if the cosmic microwave background radiation fluctuation and the pulsar timing are included.

This is not the end of the story. There may be other effects which can enable the abundant formation of the multiple winding defect such as the relaxation of the geodesic rule [24] which is applied when the phase between two different correlated regions is interpolated. Moreover, it may be possible that the homogeneous gauge flux distribution greatly enhances the total winding number within the correlated domain so that huge winding strings might be produced by the string coalescence [25]. Further work would be needed in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Arttu Rajantie for his comment at the international workshop on Particle Physics and The Early Universe, COSMO2000.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. W. B. Kibble, J. Phys. A **9**, 1387 (1976).
For a review of topological defects, see, e.g., A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994).
- [2] B. J. Carr, Astrophys. J. **201**, 1 (1975).
D. Page and S. Hawking, Astrophys. J. **206**, 1 (1976).
B. J. Carr, Astrophys. J. **206**, 8 (1976).
J. H. MacGibbon and B. J. Carr, Astrophys. J. **371**, 447 (1991).
- [3] J. MacGibbon, Nature **329**, 308 (1987).
- [4] G. Vincent, N. D. Antunes and M. Hindmarsh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2277 (1998).
- [5] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. **B 231**, 237 (1989).
- [6] A. Polnarev and R. Zembowicz, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 1106 (1991).
- [7] R. R. Caldwell and P. Casper, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 3002 (1996).
- [8] J. H. MacGibbon, R. H. Brandenberger and U. F. Wichoski, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 2158 (1998).
- [9] D. Bennett, A. Stebbins and F. Bouchet, Astrophys. J. Lett. **399**, L5 (1992).
L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Lett. **B298**, 305 (1993).
B. Allen, R. R. Caldwell, S. Dodelsen, L. Knox, E. P. S. Shellard and A. Stebbins, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2624 (1997).
- [10] R. Caldwell and B. Allen, Phys. Rev. **D45**, 3447 (1992).
R. Caldwell, R. Battye and E. P. S. Shellard, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 7146 (1996).
M. P. McHugh, G. Zalamansky, F. Venotte and E. Lantz, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 5993 (1996).
- [11] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3137 (1994).
A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B 327**, 208 (1994).
- [12] A. A. de Laix, M. Trodden and T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. **D 57**, 7186 (1998).
- [13] For a review of electroweak baryogenesis, see, e.g., M. Trodden, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 1463 (1999).
- [14] R. H. Brandenberger and A. -C. Davis, Phys. Lett. **B 308** (1993) 79.
- [15] M. Nagasawa and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 2166.
- [16] V. Soni, Phys. Lett. **B 394**, 275 (1997).
- [17] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. **B 61**, 45 (1973).
- [18] E. B. Bogomol'nyi and A. I. Vainshtein, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23**, 588 (1976).
- [19] L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. **B 19**, 4486 (1979).
L. M. A. Bettencourt and R. J. Rivers, Phys. Rev. **D 51**, 1842 (1995).
- [20] T. Okabe and M. Nagasawa, Phys. Lett. **B 461**, 49 (1999).
- [21] T. Vachaspati and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. **D 30**, 2036 (1984).
T. Prokopec, Phys. Lett. **B 262**, 215 (1991).
- [22] M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. **D 56**, 4544 (1997).
- [23] D. Page, Phys. Rev. **D13**, 198 (1976).
J. H. MacGibbon, Phys. Rev. **D44**, 376 (1991).
- [24] L. Pogosian and T. Vachaspati, Phys. Lett. **B 423**, 45 (1998).
- [25] M. Hindmarsh and A. Rajantie, cond-mat/0007361.